```
GOODMAN NEUMAN HAMILTON, LLP
1
    Pavan Rosati
2
    Email: prosati@gnhllp.com
   417 Montgomery Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor
3
    San Francisco, CA 94104
4
    Tel: (415) 705-0400; Fax: (415) 705-0411
    Attorneys for Defendant McKesson Corporation
5
6
    LECLAIRRYAN LLP
    Brian S. Inamine
7
    E-Mail: Brian.inamine@leclairryan.com
8
    888 South Figueroa, Suite 1800
    Los Angeles, CA 90017
9
    Tel.: (213) 488-0503; Fax: (213) 624-3755
10
    Attorneys for Defendant Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
11
    GORDON & REES, LLP
12
    Kai Peters
    E-Mail: kpeters@gordonrees.com
13
    275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
14
    San Francisco, CA 94111
    Tel.: (415) 986-5900; Fax: (415) 986-8054
    Attorneys for Defendants Cornerstore Biopharma, Inc., and Cornerstore Biopharma
16
    Holdings, Inc.
17
    Kenneth M. Seeger (State Bar No. 135862)
18
    kseeger@seegersalvas.com
    Adam R. Salvas (State Bar No. 191379)
19
    asalvas@seegersalvas.com
20
    SEEGER SALVAS LLP
    455 Market Street, Suite 1530
21
    San Francisco, CA 94105
22
                  (415) 981-9260
    Telephone:
                  (415) 981-9266
23
    Facsimile:
    Attorneys for Defendants Vintage Pharmaceuticals, LLC;
24
    Generics International (US), Inc.; Generics Bidco I, LLC;
    Generics Bidco II, LLC; Generics International (US Parent), Inc.;
25
    Endo Pharmaceuticals Inc.; and Endo Pharmaceuticals Holdings Inc.
26
27
28
         JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT
```

ATL 19056232v1

28

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' Complaint was filed in Imperial County Superior Court

WHEREAS, this action was removed by Defendant Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand on December 28, 2013 [Docket No. 20], and a hearing on Plaintiffs' Remand Motion was set for February 4,

WHEREAS, the Court's ruling on Plaintiffs' Remand Motion has been taken

WHEREAS, various defendants have been served in the intervening time period with response deadlines likely to occur prior to the ruling on the pending motion to

IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED by and between Defendants and Plaintiffs, through their respective attorneys of record, that:

1. In the interest of judicial economy, and upon the Court's entry of an order upon this Joint Motion (Proposed Order submitted concurrently herewith), any Defendants who have an upcoming deadline to respond to the Complaint shall have that deadline continued until thirty (30) days after the entry of any court order denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand. If Plaintiffs' Remand Motion is granted, the parties agree that the time for Defendants to respond to the Complaint shall be governed by the California Code of Civil Procedure, stipulation of counsel, and/or other court order.

1 2 3 4	Dated: April 4, 2013	By: <u>/s/ Ginger Pigott</u> Ginger Pigott GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP Attorneys for Defendant, TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC.
5 6 7	Dated: April 4, 2013	By: /s/ Amanda J. Greenburg Amanda J. Greenburg
8		KHORRAMI, LLP Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS
9 10 11	Dated: April 4, 2013	By: <u>/s/ Pavan Rosati</u> Pavan Rosati GOODMAN NEUMAN HAMILTON, LLP
12		Attorneys for Defendant, MCKESSON CORPORATION
13	Datade April 4 2013	By: <u>/s/Brian S. Inamine</u>
14	Dated: April 4, 2013	Brian S. Inamine LECLAIRRYAN LLP
15 16		Attorneys for Defendant, WATSON PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
17	D - 1 4 2012	By: _/s/ Kai Peters
18	Dated: April 4, 2013	Kai Peters GORDON & REES LLP
19 20		Attorney for Defendant Cornerstone BioPharma, Inc. and Cornerstone BioPharma
21		Holdings, Inc.
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		- 4 -
∠8	JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND ATL 19056232v1	TIME FOR RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT

Case, 3:12-cv-02820-WQH-NLS Document 37 Filed 04/05/13 PageID.1419 Page 5 of 5